Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/532,288	GRANADOS, ROBERT R
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Deborah K. Ware	1651
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Deborah K. Ware</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Meghan Van Leeuwen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 September 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Not discussed per se.	· .	·
Claims discussed: 1-5 and newly added claims by examiner's amendment claims 6-7		
Prior art documents discussed: Not discussed per se		
Part II.	·	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's Representative authorized the restriction requirement and examiner's amendment to put the claims into condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
Juliah K. Way		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)